MINUTES OF THE HEARING **Class Actions** Referred from

Attorney(s)

SUPERIOR COURT Civil Chamber

No.:

500-06-000799-169

Plaintiff

THE HONOURABLE ANDRÉ PRÉVOST, JSC

Scheduled room: 14.07 Date: January 11, 2019

JP1827

		, itter 110 y (c)	
Nathalie Picotte Absent		Me Erik Lowe Merchant Law Group	Present
Defendants	3	Attorney(s)	
FORD MOTOR COMPANY ET AL.		Me Robert Torralba	
	Absent	Me Simon Seida	Present
		Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP	
Third Partie	es	Attorney(s)	
Rebecca Romeo et al.		Me Theodore Charney	
	Absent	Charney Lawyers PC	Present
	Absent	Me Michael Simkin	riodoni
		Simkin Leqas inc.	
Type of case			
Class action			
			Amount: \$
Rating(s)	Application(s)		
rtating(s)	Petitioners' (third parties') application to stay the	a application for authorization to institute a	place action and to
999	appoint a representative plaintiff	e application for authorization to institute a t	Siass action and to
	арронна торгозопише риннин		
Court clerk	Interpreter	Stenographer	
Alexis Therrien-Chagnon g.a.c.s.		N/A	
DIGITAL REC	CORDING		
Morning	hearing: Start 09:16 End 10:45	Afternoon hearing: Start	End
Cases referred to	the master of the rolls	Outcome of hearing	
TIME			
09:16	OPENING OF THE HEARING		
	Identification of attorneys		
09:17	The Court addresses the parties		
09:17	The Court addresses the parties		
09:18	5		
09.18	Representations by Me Charney		
00.10			
09:19	Me Charney refers to the amended application		
00.22			
09:22	Me Charney refers to his authorities		
00.21	Overation from the Occurs to May Of	tion of the man	
09:31	Question from the Court to Me Charney re:	time frame	

Page 1 / 4 Document print date: 15/01/2019 08:33:00 Superior Court Minutes

MINUTES OF THE HEARING Class Actions Referred from SUPERIOR COURT Civil Chamber

No.: 500-06-000799-169 Scheduled room: 14.07 Date: January 11, 2019

JP1827

THE HONOURABLE ANDRÉ PRÉVOST, JSC

09:41	Comment by Me Seida	re: The appeal was heard but still under advisement	
09:45	Me Charney refers to his additional volume		
09:49	Question from the Court to Me Charney	re: essentially the same	
09:50	Representations by Me Torralba Representations by Me Lowe		
09:51			
09:51	Comments by the Court re: lis p	endens	
09:52	Me Lowe refers to his authorities		
09:55	Exchanges between the Court and Me Lowe		
10:08	Comments by the Court re: multi	ple jurisdictions and two types of law	
10:14	Question from the Court to Me Charney	re: Deferral of action in Ontario for the purpose of negotiations	
10:18	Additional representations by Me Charney		
10:19	Additional representations by Me Torralba		
10:22			

JUDGMENT:

CONSIDERING that the parties request that the proceedings instituted in this action be suspended until the Ontario Superior Court has ruled on the application for authorization of the settlement on a national level in file CV-15-539855-00-CP;

CONSIDERING that several applications for authorization to institute a class action were filed in Ontario, Saskatchewan, British Columbia, and Quebec with respect to the same automotive transmission problem that is being disputed in this action;

CONSIDERING that Merchant Law Group has filed applications for a national class action in Saskatchewan and British Columbia and also in Quebec for members specifically residing in that province;

CONSIDERING that Me Charney's practice also filed an application for a national class action in Ontario in 2015;

CONSIDERING that the application filed by Me Charney in Ontario was filed before the application filed by Merchant Law Group in Quebec;

CONSIDERING that, from the very outset, counsel for Ms Picotte acknowledged that the criteria for lis pendens set out in section 3137 C.C.Q. were met in this instance:

CONSIDERING that the Court is required to exercise its discretion under section 577 C.C.P. to determine whether or not to grant precedence to the action brought in Ontario;

CONSIDERING that section 577 C.C.P. grants priority to the interests of the members, namely that the members are properly represented in the action brought in another jurisdiction to protect their rights and interests;

Superior Court Minutes version 0.93_6 Document print date: 15/01/2019 08:33:00 Page 2 / 4

MINUTES OF THE HEARING Class Actions Referred from SUPERIOR COURT Civil Chamber

No.:

500-06-000799-169

THE HONOURABLE ANDRÉ PRÉVOST, JSC

Scheduled room: 14.07 Date: January 11, 2019

JP1827

CONSIDERING that section 577 C.C.P. was analyzed specifically in the recent cases of *Chasles v. Bell Canada* 2017 QCCS 5200 and *Li v. Equifax* 2018 QCCS 1892;

CONSIDERING that, in its analysis of defending the best interests of the Quebec members, the Court must assess the circumstances and particularities of the action brought in Ontario as well as the circumstances and particularities of this action:

CONSIDERING that the action brought in Ontario is known to counsel representing the applicant in this action, who even requested to intervene in the Ontario case, which was declined;

CONSIDERING that the hearing on certification of the action in Ontario was originally scheduled to take place in November 2017, a few days after Merchant Law Group's request for intervention, but was postponed with the Court's approval due to settlement negotiations between the plaintiffs and defendants in Ontario;

CONSIDERING that the hearing of the certification application was postponed a second time until spring 2018 due to the progress of the negotiation discussions;

CONSIDERING that a settlement agreement was reached between the plaintiffs and defendants in Ontario for all members residing throughout Canada on November 5, 2018;

CONSIDERING that one week later, the action was certified in Ontario for the sole purpose of the settlement between the parties;

CONSIDERING that, once the settlement was finalized, the plaintiffs in Ontario applied for a stay of proceedings in this action until the settlement is approved by the Ontario Court;

CONSIDERING that two of the plaintiffs in the Ontario action reside in Quebec;

CONSIDERING that the guidelines of the Canadian protocol for multijurisdictional class actions were applied in the Ontario regulatory authorization process;

CONSIDERING that the notices were published throughout Canada, and specifically in Quebec, in three Frenchlanguage newspapers and one English-language newspaper whose circulation throughout Quebec is generally acknowledged;

CONSIDERING also that notices were mailed to the owners of the vehicles concerned:

CONSIDERING that the class action that is the subject of the Ontario regulation not only covers the defendants' hidden defects and negligence, but also includes an element relating to the warranty that the manufacturer must provide;

CONSIDERING that the threat of class action in Ontario appears more advantageous than the collective action in the current case;

CONSIDERING that the settlement in the Ontario class action must be authorized in a judgment scheduled for March 18, 2019, and the exclusion period runs until March 5, 2019;

CONSIDERING that, in the Court's opinion, protection of the rights and interests of the members in Quebec is best served by suspending this action until the final judgment approving the settlement in the Ontario file:

Superior Court Minutes version 0. 93_6 Document print date: 15/01/2019 09:27:00 Page 3 / 4

MINUTES OF THE HEARING Class Actions Referred from SUPERIOR COURT Civil Chamber

No.:

500-06-000799-169

THE HONOURABLE ANDRÉ PRÉVOST, JSC

Scheduled room: 14.07 Date: January 11, 2019

JP1827

FOR THESE REASONS, THE COURT:

ACCEPTS the application;

SUSPENDS the proceedings in this instance until the final judgment of authorization for settlement of the class action brought before the Superior Court of Ontario under reference CV-15-539855-00-CP;

ACKNOWLEDGES the stakeholders' commitment to inform the Court promptly of any decision made in the Ontario action referred to above regarding authorization of the regulation;

WITHOUT INCURRING LEGAL FEES.

Alexis Therrien-Chagnon g.a.c.s.

10:45

End of hearing

'HONORABLE ANDRÉ PRÉVOST, J.C.S.